

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Asuret Subcounty

Soroti District

(Vote Code: 930)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 83%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score		
A. Funct	A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures					
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	List of PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes seen and functional	2		
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	There is no Evidence that all the Parishes in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed and disaggregated by village, gender, age, and economic activity	0		
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	NGOs, CBOs & CSO mapping report for the previous FY available	2		
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs	2		

2

3 The LLG provided Evidence that the LLG provided guidance PDCs and Village guidance and and information to the Village Executive Executive Committees and to PDCs on: information to the Committees were Village Executive provided guidance iii. Priority enterprises that can be Committees and PDCs implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0 on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6 **B. Planning and Budgeting** 4 1 The LLG conducted Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG approved Annual Planning and LLG council approved Annual Work plan and development plan III Budgeting exercise for Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: and Annual Work the current FY as per plan and Budget i. Is consistent with the LLG approved (AWPB) are in the Planning and development plan III; score 1 or else 0 **Budgeting Guidelines** consistent Maximum score is 6 4 1 The LLG conducted Evidence that prioritized investments in the Ranked priorities LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Annual Planning and from all its respective Budgeting exercise for Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: parish submissions are available the current FY as per ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its the Planning and respective parish submissions which are duly **Budgeting Guidelines** signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Maximum score is 6 Chairperson score 1 or else 0. 4 1 The LLG conducted Evidence that prioritized investments in the Annual Planning and LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Prioritized Budgeting exercise for Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: investments are the current FY as per based on the iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget the Planning and outcomes of the conference; score 1 or else 0 **Budgeting Guidelines** budget conference Maximum score is 6 4 1

iv. That the LLG budget include investments

to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

LLG budget includes

financed by the LLG

investments to be

The LLG conducted

Annual Planning and

the current FY as per the Planning and **Budgeting Guidelines**

Maximum score is 6

Budgeting exercise for

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	It is evident that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	Submitted but late on 27/06/2024	0
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	Submitted but late	0
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	The approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant	2
C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration				
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within \pm 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	More than 10% of the budget	1
	Maximum score is 1			
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	OSR collected Reduced by 10%	0

Maximum score 1

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	Used at least 5% OSR funds on operational and maintenance	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	OSR was publicized notice boards	1
D. Finar	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	acknowledged copies of LLG submissions of AFS to Auditor General on 29/08/2024	4
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	Quarterly financial and physical progress reports received on 12/10/2023	1

1

3

The LLG has submitted all 4 physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress quarterly financial and reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Quarterly financial and physical progress reports received on 05/01/2024

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress quarterly financial and reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Quarterly financial and physical progress reports received on 10/04/2024

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress quarterly financial and reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Quarterly financial and physical progress reports received on 13/07/2024

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Appraisal of all staff in Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

> (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

Review personnel staff files submitted by 30/06/2024

2

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6 Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6 Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff files submit by 30/06/2024 Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6 Evidence that the LLG has Maximum score is 6 (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else Staff duty attendance Evidence that the LLG has Produced month
Staff duty attendance Evidence that the LLG has Maximum score is 6 (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0 Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0 Produced month
Staff duty attendance Evidence that the LLG has Produced month
Maximum score is 6 (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0
The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 Maximum score is 2 Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: on eligible projects/ score 2, or else score 0
15 The LLG spent the Evidence that the execution of budget in the budget in the funds as per budget previous FY does not deviate for any of the previous FY does
sectors/main programs by more than +/- deviate for any of the sectors sectors sectors sectors 10%: Score 2 sectors

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Obtain copies of the Environmental and Social Screening	2	
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	There is a specified system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances	1	
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	Has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms	1	
19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	LLG has fully constituted Area Land Committee with sets of Minutes for the previous FY	1	
H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)					
20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Awareness campaigns and parents' mobilization reports available	3	
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:	LLG monitored schools in the previous 2 terms	2	
		If all schools (100%) - score 4			
		If 80 – 99% – score 2			
		If 60 to 79% score 1			
		Below 60% score 0			

27

The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised sapects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0

SAS monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services 3

Maximum score is 3

28 No evidence 0

Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0

Maximum score is 2

29 No evidence 0

Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30 Development of the

Plans as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Physical Development Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for

> development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD

Score 1 or else 0

30

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of the (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of the (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Operation and
Maintenance of
infrastructure

33

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure (iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else

Maximum score is 3

L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture	2
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	There are reports on awareness creation	2
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	There are Monthly monitoring reports by extension staff	2
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training program and Training reports	2
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Field reports on extension support provided	2